UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,225	10/06/2005	Mike Voigt	3433	6777
7590 10/31/2007 Striker Striker & Stenby 103 East Neck Road			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, DUNG V	
Huntington, NY 11743			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		<i>V S</i>			
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/552,225	VOIGT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dung V. Nguyen	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 S	eptember 2007.				
a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 October 2005</u> is/are	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= ' '				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage			
*					
Attachment(s)	. استا ر	(272 440)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I				

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 13 September 2007 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: stop means "(32, 42)" in lines 4 and 7 should be "stop means (34, 42)". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-26, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Rudolf et al (EP 0 973 353). Rudolf et al discloses a system comprising a guard 14, a power tool 10, a rotation-prevention means comprising a blocking means 31 on a guard side and a stop means 32 on a power tool side, an attachment means 17 for clamping the guard 14 to the power tool 10, wherein the stop means 32 limits

Application/Control Number: 10/552,225

Art Unit: 3723

rotation of the guard 14, wherein the rotation of the guard is independent of a tightening torque to attached the guard 14, wherein the rotation-prevention means limits rotation of the guard 14 to a narrow angular range or entirely prevents rotation of the guard 14, wherein the guard 14 can be fixed to any position in a limiting groove 32, wherein the a collar 12 includes an insertion groove 34 with a limiting groove 32 located at an angle (note Fig. 1-5, paragraph [0035] through [0045]).

5. Claims 24, 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Rudolf et al (USPN 4,924,635). Rudolf et al discloses a power tool 1 with motor located in housing 3 for driving an insertion tool 6, a rotation-preventing means for preventing the insertion tool from being released in a direction of an operator, the rotation-prevention means including a stop means 30 for a blocking means 31, wherein the stop means projects outward at an angle from the housing 3 (note Fig. 1-2, col. 4, lines 29-60).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung V. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4490. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP Program.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,225 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dung Van Nguyen/ Primary Examiner October 26, 2007